

# Nanocones

## A classification result in chemistry


Gunnar Brinkmann Nico Van Cleemput

Combinatorial Algorithms and Algorithmic Graph Theory  
Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science  
Ghent University




# Carbon networks


graphite



nanocone



nanotube





all structures infinite

# Equivalent structures

## Definition

Two infinite structures are called *equivalent* iff a finite part in both of them can be removed so that the (infinite) remainders are isomorphic.



# Classification

graphite (0 pentagons)

unique structure – so 1 class only

cone with 1 pentagon

unique structure – so 1 class only

nanotubes (6 pentagons)

infinitely many structures **and** infinitely many equivalence classes

a finite number of tubes in each class



# Classification

graphite (0 pentagons)

unique structure – so 1 class only

cone with 1 pentagon

unique structure – so 1 class only

nanotubes (6 pentagons)

infinitely many structures **and** infinitely many equivalence classes

a finite number of tubes in each class



# Classification

graphite (0 pentagons)

unique structure – so 1 class only

cone with 1 pentagon

unique structure – so 1 class only

nanotubes (6 pentagons)

infinitely many structures **and** infinitely many equivalence classes

a finite number of tubes in each class



# Classification of cones

2 to 4 pentagons

infinitely many structures – 2 classes

5 pentagons

infinitely many structures – 1 class

First: D.J. Klein (2002)

independently C. Justus (2007)

**Also some parts of what follows!**



# Classification of cones

2 to 4 pentagons

infinitely many structures – 2 classes

5 pentagons

infinitely many structures – 1 class

First: D.J. Klein (2002)

independently C. Justus (2007)

**Also some parts of what follows!**





# Classification of cones

2 to 4 pentagons

infinitely many structures – 2 classes

5 pentagons

infinitely many structures – 1 class


First: D.J. Klein (2002)

independently C. Justus (2007)

**Also some parts of what follows!**



Each cone is equivalent to exactly one of the following cones  
(only caps shown)



## Why still another and independent proof?

- in fact the basic **very general** classification result is already from 1997 (Ludwig Balke)
- very easy (using Balke's result)
- very easy also for other structures – you could e.g. immediately work out the classes for square-cones or even cones of more complicated periodic structures



## Why still another and independent proof?

- in fact the basic **very general** classification result is already from 1997 (Ludwig Balke)
- very easy (using Balke's result)
- very easy also for other structures – you could e.g. immediately work out the classes for square-cones or even cones of more complicated periodic structures



## Why still another and independent proof?

- in fact the basic **very general** classification result is already from 1997 (Ludwig Balke)
- very easy (using Balke's result)
- very easy also for other structures – you could e.g. immediately work out the classes for square-cones or even cones of more complicated periodic structures



## Why still another and independent proof?

- in fact the basic **very general** classification result is already from 1997 (Ludwig Balke)
- very easy (using Balke's result)
- very easy also for other structures – you could e.g. immediately work out the classes for square-cones or even cones of more complicated periodic structures




Theorem (L. Balke (1997) rephrased for these circumstances)

*A disordered periodic tiling is up to equivalence characterized by*

- *the periodic tiling  $\mathcal{T}$  that is disordered (the hexagonal lattice in this case)*
- *a winding number (can be neglected here)*
- *a conjugacy class of an automorphism in the symmetry group of  $\mathcal{T}$*



Take **any** closed path  
around the disorder.



Here: llrrrlrrlrrr.


Follow the **same** path  
llrrrlrrlrrr in the lattice

A counterclockwise  
rotation by 60 degrees.






Take **any** closed path  
around the disorder.




Here: llrrrlrrlrrrr.

Follow the **same** path  
llrrrlrrlrrrr in the lattice



A counterclockwise  
rotation by 60 degrees.

The path around two pentagons corresponds to the product of two paths – the rotation corresponds to the product of two rotations by 60 degrees.



This allows to determine possible equivalence classes.

Example: 3 pentagons

There are two such conjugacy classes in the symmetry group:


- rotation around the center of an edge
- rotation around the center of a face.

So two candidate classes.



This allows to determine possible equivalence classes.

Example: 3 pentagons




There are two such conjugacy classes in the symmetry group:

- rotation around the center of an edge
- rotation around the center of a face.


So two candidate classes.

## Both classes exist for 3 pentagons



Balke: proof of existence for **general** disorders – not necessarily of the form needed here.

## Both classes exist for 3 pentagons



Balke: proof of existence for **general** disorders – not necessarily of the form needed here.

# Further classification

In the equivalence classes for nanotubes the region with the pentagons is bounded – the parameters of the class allow to compute upper bounds for this *disordered region*!

## Aim

Take the localization of the defects also into account for cones.  
Classify by innermost paths of a certain form.



# Further classification

In the equivalence classes for nanotubes the region with the pentagons is bounded – the parameters of the class allow to compute upper bounds for this *disordered region*!

## Aim


Take the localization of the defects also into account for cones.  
Classify by innermost paths of a certain form.





# Definitions

“symmetric” conepath



$$((lr)^3r)^{6-p} = ((lr)^3r)^4$$

“nearsymmetric”  
conepath


$$((lr)^3r)^{6-p-1}((lr)^2r) = ((lr)^3r)^3((lr)^2r)$$

Note: always  $6 - p$  edges with two times right




# Definitions

“symmetric” conepath



$$((lr)^3r)^{6-p} = ((lr)^3r)^4$$

“nearsymmetric”  
conepath



$$((lr)^3r)^{6-p-1}((lr)^2r) = ((lr)^3r)^3((lr)^2r)$$

Note: always  $6 - p$  edges with two times right

# Definitions

Assume  $2 \leq p \leq 5$  fixed.

## Definition

A closed path of the form  $((lr)^m r)^{6-p}$  (for some  $m$ ) is called a symmetric path (for  $p$  and  $m$ ).

## Definition

A closed path of the form  $((lr)^m r)^{6-p-1} ((lr)^{m-1} r)$  (for some  $m$ ) is called a nearsymmetric path (for  $p$  and  $m$ ).



# Definitions

Assume  $2 \leq p \leq 5$  fixed.

## Definition

A closed path of the form  $((lr)^m r)^{6-p}$  (for some  $m$ ) is called a symmetric path (for  $p$  and  $m$ ).

## Definition

A closed path of the form  $((lr)^m r)^{6-p-1} ((lr)^{m-1} r)$  (for some  $m$ ) is called a nearsymmetric path (for  $p$  and  $m$ ).



# Definitions

## Definition

A closed path in a cone is called a conepath if it is symmetric or nearsymmetric, shares an edge with a pentagon and has only hexagons in its exterior.



# Finer classification of cones

## Theorem

- *In every cone there is a **unique** cone path.*

**unless**  $p = 2$  and there is an *nearsymmetric* conepath.

*In this case there are exactly two isomorphic conepaths with isomorphic interior.*



# Finer classification of cones

## Theorem

*So there is a 1-1 correspondence between caps (interiors of cone paths) and cones.*

## Note

The corresponding result does not hold for nanotubes.



# Finer classification of cones

## Theorem

*So there is a 1-1 correspondence between caps (interiors of cone paths) and cones.*


## Note

The corresponding result does not hold for nanotubes.






# Sketch of the uniqueness proof




# Sketch of the uniqueness proof

cone



graphite lattice



# Sketch of the uniqueness proof

## Method

- if two conepaths exist, they are of the same *type* and share and edge  $e$
- following the two paths in the lattice from the same starting edge gives the same endedge – so
$$f(e, a_0, \dots, a_k) = f(e, a'_0, \dots, a'_k)$$
- solve the equations for the different possible variables  $a_i$



# Sketch of the uniqueness proof

## Method

- if two conepaths exist, they are of the same *type* and share and edge  $e$
- following the two paths in the lattice from the same starting edge gives the same endedge – so
$$f(e, a_0, \dots, a_k) = f(e, a'_0, \dots, a'_k)$$
- solve the equations for the different possible variables  $a_i$



# Sketch of the uniqueness proof


## Method

- if two conepaths exist, they are of the same *type* and share and edge  $e$
- following the two paths in the lattice from the same starting edge gives the same endedge – so
$$f(e, a_0, \dots, a_k) = f(e, a'_0, \dots, a'_k)$$
- solve the equations for the different possible variables  $a_i$



# Construction of cone caps


Easy: conecaps are pseudo-convex and therefore have an inner spiral



**But:** lots of optimizations to increase efficiency

# Construction of cone caps

Easy: conecaps are pseudo-convex and therefore have an inner spiral



**But:** lots of optimizations to increase efficiency

# Construction of cone caps with two pentagons

All possible positions of the pentagons can be computed directly!

Idea

knowing the center of the rotation given by the boundary, one pentagon determines the position of the other





# Construction of cone caps with two pentagons

All possible positions of the pentagons can be computed directly!

## Idea

knowing the center of the rotation given by the boundary, one pentagon determines the position of the other



# Some results


Example: 3 pentagons, symmetric conepath

sidelength	number cones	min atoms	max atoms
5	18	58	82
10	124	163	261
15	387	318	542
20	915	523	921
25	1.757	778	1.402
30	3.039	1.083	1.981
35	4.793	1.438	2.662
40	7.164	1.843	3.441
45	10.162	2.298	4.322
50	13.955	2.803	5.301



# CaGe

The program can be used inside the environment CaGe:



<http://caagt.ugent.be/CaGe>

